UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

STADIUM CAPITAL LLC, on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

CO-DIAGNOSTICS, INC., DWIGHT H. EGAN, and BRIAN L. BROWN,

Defendants.

Case No.: 22-cv-6978

CLASS ACTION

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff Stadium Capital LLC ("Plaintiff"), by its attorneys, on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated, alleges the following based upon the investigation by Plaintiff's counsel, except as to allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge. The investigation by counsel included, among other things, a review of Co-Diagnostics, Inc's ("Co-Dx" or the "Company") public filings with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), press releases issued by the Company, public conference calls, media and news reports about the Company, and publicly available trading data relating to the price and volume of Co-Dx securities.

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This action is a securities action brought under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC, brought by Plaintiff on behalf of a class of all persons and entities who purchased the publicly traded securities of Co-Dx during the period May 12, 2022 through the close of the market on August 11, 2022 (4:00 p.m. ET), inclusive (the "Class Period").

- 2. Co-Dx purports to develop, manufacture and sell reagents used for diagnostic tests that function via the detection and/or analysis of nucleic acid molecules (DNA or RNA), including robust and innovative molecular tools for detection of infectious diseases, liquid biopsy for cancer screening, and agricultural applications.
- 3. On April 6, 2020, Co-Dx announced that it had received an Emergency Use Authorization for its Logix SmartTM COVID-19 detection test from the Food and Drug Administration, allowing it to commence sales of the test to laboratories certified by the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services under the Clinical Laboratories Improvements Act ("CLIA") to accept human samples for diagnostics testing throughout the United States. Co-Dx has sold its Logix SmartTM COVID-19 test to such CLIA labs since that time. Co-Dx services over 500 centralized lab customers, including about 200 U.S. CLIA labs, 130 foreign labs, and approximately 200 labs in India certified by the National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories.
- 4. During the Class Period, Defendants repeatedly touted its Logix SmartTM COVID-19 Test, reassuring investors about the demand for the product. At the same time, Defendants (as defined herein) failed to disclose that: (1) demand for its Logix SmartTM COVID-19 Test had plummeted throughout the quarter ended June 30, 2022, and (2) as a result, Defendants' positive statements about the demand for its Logix SmartTM COVID-19 Test lacked a reasonable basis.
- 5. On August 11, 2022, Co-Dx shocked investors when, after the market closed, the Company issued a press release and filed a report with the SEC on Form 8-K that disclosed its financial results for the quarter ended June 30, 2022, in which the Company disclosed revenue of \$5.0 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2022, down from \$27.4 million during the prior year

period, a decline of almost 82%. The Company primarily attributed the decrease to lower demand of the Logix SmartTM COVID-19 Test.

- 6. On this news, the price of Co-Dx's common stock declined \$1.98, or 30.65%, from a closing price of \$6.46 per share on August 11, 2022, to close at \$4.48 per share on August 12, 2022.
- 7. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, and the precipitous decline in the market value of the Company's common stock, Plaintiff and other Class members have suffered significant losses and damages.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. The claims asserted arise under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder. Jurisdiction is conferred by Section 27 of the Exchange Act. Venue is proper pursuant to Section 27 of Exchange Act because Co-Dx's common stock traded on the Nasdaq exchange in this district throughout the Class Period and Defendants made materially false and misleading representations to investors that were disseminated to investors in this District.
- 9. In connection with the material misrepresentations of facts and omissions alleged in this complaint, Defendants, directly or indirectly, used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including, but not limited to, the mails, interstate telephone communications, and the facilities of the national securities markets.

III. THE PARTIES

- 10. Plaintiff purchased Co-Dx's publicly traded common stock as detailed in the attached Certification and was damaged thereby.
- 11. Defendant Co-Dx is incorporated in Utah and its headquarters are located at 2401 S. Foothill Drive, Salt Lake City, Utah 84109. The Company's common stock is listed on the

Nasdaq under the ticker symbol "CODX."

- 12. Defendant Dwight H. Egan ("Egan") is, and has served as the Company's Chief Executive Officer, President and Chairman of the Board throughout the Class Period.
- 13. Defendant Brian L. Brown ("Brown") is, and has served as the Company's Chief Financial Officer and Secretary throughout the Class Period.
- Defendants." The Individual Defendants, because of their positions with the Company, possessed the power and authority to control the contents of Co-Dx's reports to the SEC, press releases, and presentations to securities analysts, money portfolio managers and institutional investors, *i.e.*, the market. The Individual Defendants were provided with copies of the Company's reports and press releases alleged herein to be misleading prior to, or shortly after, their issuance and had the ability and opportunity to prevent their issuance or cause them to be corrected. Because of their positions and access to material non-public information available to them, the Individual Defendants knew that the adverse facts specified herein had not been disclosed to, and were being concealed from, the public, and that the positive representations which were being made were then materially false and/or misleading. The Individual Defendants are liable for the false statements pleaded herein, as those statements were each "group-published" information, the result of the collective actions of the Individual Defendants.
 - 15. Co-Dx and the Individual Defendants are referred to collectively as "Defendants".

IV. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

16. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b)(3) on behalf of a class of all persons and entities who purchased the publicly traded securities of Co-Dx during the Class Period.

- 17. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. While the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at the present time and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff believes that there are hundreds of members of the Class located throughout the United States. As of August 9, 2022, Co-Dx had over 33 million shares of common stock outstanding, which were actively traded on the Nasdaq in an efficient market.
- 18. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class. Plaintiff and all members of the Class have sustained damages because of Defendants' unlawful activities alleged herein. Plaintiff has retained counsel competent and experienced in class and securities litigation and intends to pursue this action vigorously. The interests of the Class will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiff. Plaintiff has no interests which are contrary to or in conflict with those of the Class that Plaintiff seeks to represent.
- 19. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action.
- 20. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class. Among the questions of law and fact common to the Class are:
- (a) whether the federal securities laws were violated by Defendants' acts and omissions as alleged herein;
- (b) whether Defendants misstated and/or omitted to state material facts in their public statements and filings with the SEC;

- (c) whether Defendants participated directly or indirectly in the course of conduct complained of herein; and
- (d) whether the members of the Class have sustained damages and the proper measure of such damages.

V. FALSE AND MISLEADING STATEMENTS

21. The Class Period begins on May 12, 2022. On that date, the Company issued a press release and filed a report with the SEC on Form 8-K that disclosed its financial results for the quarter ended March 31, 2022 (the "May 12, 2022 Press Release"). The May 12, 2022 Press Release quoted Defendant Egan as stating:

"While we remain very confident about the long-term potential of our business, our ability to accurately forecast Logix SmartTM COVID-19 Test sales through the balance of the year has diminished due to decreased mask mandates in the United States, continued emergence and spread of new variants, and persistently low vaccination rates in many parts of the world. As a result, it has become difficult to predict with any level of precision the cumulative impact of these and other factors on our future financial results. For these reasons, we are not providing quarterly guidance at this time and will reassess this position in the future[.]"

22. On that same day, during a conference call with investors and analysts after the disclosure of Co-Dx's financial results, Defendant Brown similarly stated:

Turning now to our visibility around the outlook for the balance of the year. While we experienced strong demand for our products during the first quarter of 2022, changes in our operating environment and markets have restricted our near term visibility. We will continue to navigate the near term environment with caution, but as a result, we'll not be providing quarterly guidance at this time.

To be clear, we remain very confident about the long-term potential of our business and the demand for our products. Our ability to accurately forecast Logix Smart COVID-19 test sales through the balance of the year has diminished due to decreased mask mandates in the United States, continued emergence and spread of new variants and persistently low vaccination rates in many parts of the world.

Furthermore, we are experiencing sizable fluctuations in order patterns from our customers that are not cleanly captured in a particular quarter as testing

requirements continue to vary across the many geographic regions we serve. As a result, it has become difficult to predict with an expected level of precision the cumulative impact of these and other factors on our future financial results.

23. During the same conference call, Defendant Brown stated the following regarding demand for Logix SmartTM COVID-19 detection test:

[Analyst]: "... are you already seeing a decline in customer orders [for the Logix Smart detection test]... [o]r are you refraining from providing a guidance mainly because it's tough to like predict the environment going forward?"

Defendant Brown: ". . . it's more about the timing and being able to forecast the timing of orders is the bigger issue. It's not necessarily a demand issue that we're seeing. It's more of just timing of being able to accurately forecast what's coming in."

24. During the same conference call, Defendant Brown also stated the following regarding demand for the Logix SmartTM COVID-19 detection test:

[Analyst]: "I just want to be clear on the guidance. I mean based on all the factors you laid out, it sounds like you don't expect demand for COVID-19 testing go away in 2022. You're just not sure the timing of when you will get the orders, but you still think there will be some level of demand for the remainder of the year. Is that accurate?

Defendant Brown: "Yes, you're absolutely right."

25. On June 15, 2022, Defendants Egan and Brown presented at the Sidoti Summer 2022 Small Cap Virtual Conference. During the Q&A portion of the presentation, Defendant Egan made the following statement regarding continued demand for COVID testing products throughout 2022 and 2023:

Sidoti: "And if you look at the other side of the business - the centralized lab test that you're selling now. Do you think there will be demand for covid type testing for at least the next year or two?"

Defendant Egan: "Well, you know the experts tell us that covid testing is gonna be – and, you know, the covid virus is gonna be with us until the end of time, and so, you know, we've had, *I think we're gonna have continued demand, where every kind of technology, the centralized lab type approach as well as the at home point of care, they're all gonna used to their highest and best use, and*

they're gonna be complementary to each other. So we don't, in fact, view that the introduction of our testing technology will obviate the need for centralized Labs."

26. The statements referenced above were materially false and/or misleading because at the time Defendants made these representations, Co-Dx was experiencing a significant falloff in demand for its Logix SmartTM COVID-19 Test. Contrary to Defendants' representations, (1) demand for its Logix SmartTM COVID-19 Test had plummeted throughout the quarter ended June 30, 2022, and (2) as a result, Defendants' positive statements about the demand for its Logix SmartTM COVID-19 Test lacked a reasonable basis.

VI. THE TRUTH BEGINS TO EMERGE

- On August 11, 2022, after the market closed, Co-Dx issued a press release and filed a report with the SEC on Form 8-K that disclosed its financial results for the quarter ended June 30, 2022 and conducted a conference call with investors and analysts. Defendants shocked investors by disclosing revenue of \$5.0 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2022, down from \$27.4 million during the prior year period, a decline of almost 82%. The Company primarily attributed the decrease to lower demand of the Logix SmartTM COVID-19 Test. Specifically, Defendant Egan was quoted as stating that "[o]ur second quarter results reflect lower volumes for our Logix SmartTM COVID-19 Test, which we believe is primarily the result of a reduction in mandated testing in travel and public venues and in government funding for testing programs."
- 28. On the August 11, 2022 conference call with investors and analysts, Defendant Egan admitted that, contrary to their prior representations, Defendants were aware of the reduced demand throughout the quarter:

[Analyst]: Do you have any sense on what inventory levels are at your distributors? Do you think the second quarter was a quarter where distributors let inventory levels come down as demand declined? And do you think that they're at historically low levels at this point and likely to restock? Or do you think that they have enough inventory on hand from the current level of demand?

Defendant Egan: And of course, one that we keep a close eye on every day. And we certainly saw the -- as the second quarter progressed, the falloff and we've cited the reasons we think that falloff occurred in terms of public funding of testing initiatives and just the swaging of the pressure put on by Omicron. . . .

29. On August 12, 2022, the price of Co-Dx's common stock declined \$1.98, or 30.65%, from a closing price of \$6.46 per share on August 11, 2022 to close at \$4.48 per share on August 12, 2022.

VII. LOSS CAUSATION/ECONOMIC LOSS

- 30. During the Class Period, as detailed herein, Defendants engaged in a scheme to deceive the market and a course of conduct that artificially inflated Co-Dx's stock price and operated as a fraud or deceit on Class Period purchasers of Co-Dx stock by misrepresenting Co-Dx's financial condition, results of operations and business prospects. Defendants achieved this by making positive statements about the Company's business and demand for its products, while they knew, or at least recklessly disregarded, that the Company was experiencing material negative conditions that impaired its financial condition, results of operations and business prospects, and market price of its shares. Later, however, when Defendants' prior misrepresentations were disclosed and became apparent to the market, the price of Co-Dx stock fell precipitously as the prior artificial inflation came out of Co-Dx's stock price.
- 31. As a result of their purchases of Co-Dx stock during the Class Period, Plaintiff and other members of the Class suffered economic loss, *i.e.*, damages under the federal securities laws.
- 32. As a direct result of the public revelations regarding the truth about the condition of Co-Dx's business and the negative adverse factors that had been impacting Co-Dx's

business during the Class Period, the price of Co-Dx's stock materially declined. This drop removed the inflation from Co-Dx's stock price, causing real economic loss to investors who purchased the stock during the Class Period.

33. The decline in Co-Dx's stock price at the end of the Class Period was a direct result of the nature and extent of Defendants' fraud finally being revealed to investors and the market. The timing and magnitude of Co-Dx's stock price decline negate any inference that the loss suffered by Plaintiff and other Class members was caused by changed market conditions, macroeconomic or industry factors, or Company-specific facts unrelated to the Defendants' fraudulent conduct.

VIII. FRAUD-ON-THE-MARKET DOCTRINE

- 34. At all relevant times, the market for Co-Dx's common stock was an efficient market for the following reasons, among others:
- (a) The Company's common stock met the requirements for public listing and was listed and actively traded on the Nasdaq, a highly efficient market;
 - (b) As a regulated issuer, the Company filed periodic public reports with the SEC;
- (c) The Company regularly issued press releases which were carried by national news wires. Each of these releases was publicly available and entered the public marketplace; and
- (d) A number of securities analysts regularly followed and analyzed the Company, and issued reports concerning the Company.
 - 35. As a result, the market for the Company's publicly traded common stock promptly digested current information with respect to Co-Dx from all publicly available sources and reflected such information in the price of the Company's common stock. Under these circumstances, all purchasers of the Company's publicly traded common stock during the

Class Period suffered similar injury through their purchase of the publicly traded common stock of Co-Dx at artificially inflated prices and a presumption of reliance applies.

IX. ADDITIONAL SCIENTER ALLEGATIONS

- 36. As alleged herein, Defendants acted with scienter in that Defendants knew that the public documents and statements issued or disseminated in the name of the Company were materially false and misleading; knew that such statements or documents would be issued or disseminated to the investing public; and knowingly and substantially participated or acquiesced in the issuance or dissemination of such statements or documents as primary violations of the federal securities laws. As set forth elsewhere herein in detail, Defendants, by virtue of their receipt of information reflecting the true facts regarding Co-Dx, their control over, and/or receipt and/or modification of Co-Dx's allegedly materially misleading misstatements, and/or their associations with the Company which made them privy to confidential proprietary information concerning Co-Dx, participated in the fraudulent scheme alleged herein.
- 37. Defendants knew or recklessly disregarded the falsity and misleading nature of the information which they caused to be disseminated to the investing public. The ongoing fraudulent scheme described in this complaint could not have been perpetrated over a substantial period of time, as has occurred, without the knowledge and complicity of the personnel at the highest level of the Company, including the Individual Defendants.
- 38. Defendants had the motive and opportunity to perpetrate the fraudulent scheme and course of business described herein because the Individual Defendants were the most senior officers of Co-Dx, issued statements and press releases on behalf of Co-Dx and had the opportunity to commit the fraud alleged herein.

X. NO SAFE HARBOR

- 39. The statutory safe harbor provided for forward-looking statements under certain circumstances does not apply to any of the allegedly false statements pleaded in this complaint. Many of the specific statements pleaded herein were not identified as "forward-looking statements" when made. To the extent there were any forward-looking statements, there were no meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the purportedly forward-looking statements.
- 40. Alternatively, to the extent that the statutory safe harbor does apply to any forward-looking statements pleaded herein, Defendants are liable for those false forward-looking statements because at the time each of those forward-looking statements was made, the particular speaker knew that the particular forward looking statement was false, or the forward-looking statement was authorized and/or approved by an executive officer of Co-Dx who knew that those statements were false when made.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF For Violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Against All Defendants

- 41. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 42. During the Class Period, Defendants disseminated or approved the false statements specified above, which they knew or recklessly disregarded were materially false and misleading in that they contained material misrepresentations and failed to disclose material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.
- 43. Defendants violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 in that they:
 - (a) Employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud;

- (b) Made untrue statements of material facts or omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made not misleading; or
- (c) Engaged in acts, practices, and a course of business that operated as a fraud or deceit upon Plaintiff and others similarly situated in connection with their purchases of Co-Dx publicly traded common stock during the Class Period.
- 44. Plaintiff and the Class have suffered damages in that, in reliance on the integrity of the market, they paid artificially inflated prices for Co-Dx's publicly traded common stock. Plaintiff and the Class would not have purchased Co-Dx common stock at the prices they paid, or at all, if they had been aware that the market prices had been artificially and falsely inflated by Defendants' misleading statements.
- 45. As a direct and proximate result of these Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their purchases of Co-Dx common stock during the Class Period.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

For Violation of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act Against the Individual Defendants

- 46. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 47. The Individual Defendants acted as controlling persons of Co-Dx within the meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act as alleged herein. By virtue of their high-level positions, and their ownership and contractual rights, participation in and/or awareness of the Company's operations and/or intimate knowledge of the statements filed by the Company with the SEC and disseminated to the investing public, the Individual Defendants had the power to influence and control, and did influence and control, directly or indirectly, the decision-making of the Company, including the content and dissemination of the various statements which

Plaintiff contends are false and misleading. The Individual Defendants were provided with, or

had unlimited access to, copies of the Company's reports, press releases, public filings and other

statements alleged by Plaintiff to be misleading prior to and/or shortly after these statements

were issued, and had the ability to prevent the issuance of the statements or cause the statements

to be corrected.

48. In particular, the Individual Defendants had direct and supervisory involvement

in the day-to-day operations of the Company and, therefore, are presumed to have had the power

to control or influence the particular transactions giving rise to the securities violations as alleged

herein, and exercised the same.

49. As set forth above, Co-Dx and the Individual Defendants each violated Section

10(b) and Rule 10b-5 by their acts and omissions as alleged in this Complaint. By virtue of their

positions each as a controlling person, the Individual Defendants are liable pursuant to Section

20(a) of the Exchange Act. As a direct and proximate result of Co-Dx's and the Individual

Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff and other members of the Class suffered damages in

connection with their purchases of the Company's common stock during the Class Period.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows: declaring this action to be a

proper class action; awarding damages, including interest; awarding reasonable costs, including

attorneys' fees; and such equitable/injunctive relief as the Court may deem proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

Dated: August 16, 2022

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP

/s/ Jason A. Uris

Frederic S. Fox

Jason A. Uris 850 Third Avenue, 14th Floor New York, NY 10022 Tel: (212) 687-1980 Fax: (212) 687-7714

E-mail: ffox@kaplanfox.com E-mail: juris@kaplanfox.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class

CERTIFICATION

I, Joseph Zicherman, Managing Director of Stadium Capital LLC ("Stadium Capital"), hereby certify as follows:

- 1. I am fully authorized to make a certification on behalf of Stadium Capital. I have reviewed a complaint against Co-Diagnostics, Inc. ("Co-Dx") and certain executives alleging violations of the securities laws and authorize the filing of a complaint.
- 2. Stadium Capital did not purchase the securities that are the subject of this action at the direction of plaintiff's counsel or in order to participate in any private action arising under the federal securities laws.
- 3. Stadium Capital is willing to serve as a representative party on behalf of a class, including providing testimony at deposition and trial if necessary.
- 4. Stadium Capital's transactions in Co-Dx securities during the proposed class period are set forth in Schedule A, which is attached hereto.
- 5. Stadium Capital sought to serve and serves as a representative party on behalf of a class in the following actions under the federal securities laws filed during the three-year period preceding the date of this Certification:

Asif Mehedi v. View, Inc., et al., No. 5:21-cv-06374 (N.D. Cal.)

- 6. Stadium Capital will not accept any payment for serving as a representative party on behalf of a class beyond its pro-rata share of any recovery, except as ordered or approved by the court, including any award to a representative plaintiff of reasonable costs and expense directly related to the representation of the class.
- 7. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, executed on this 16th day of August, 2022.

Joseph Zicherman
Managing Director
Stadium Capital LLC

Schedule A Transactions in Co-Diagnostics, Inc.

Security	CUSIP	Transaction	Trade Date	Quantity	Price
Co-Diagnostics, Inc.	189763105	Buy	7/25/2022	15,000	\$6.29
Co-Diagnostics, Inc.	189763105	Buy	7/26/2022	21,000	\$5.89